



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,171	01/19/2006	Mori Nagayama	040302-0540	7246
22428	7590	11/13/2008	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			WANG, EUGENIA	
SUITE 500				
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/565,171	NAGAYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	EUGENIA WANG	1795	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) EUGENIA WANG. (3) MARTIN CORSENZA.  
 (2) PATRICK RYAN. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3-13.

Identification of prior art discussed: EP 0858120 (Yoshida et al.).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The discussion was with regards to the nature of the voids, specifically that the electrolyte filling the top would not necessarily indicate that the pertinent material near the current collector would have a greater density. Such arguments have been found persuasive and would overcome the rejection of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eugenia Wang/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795	/PATRICK RYAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795
---	--